What was your favourite model of 2017? Clear your vote

A Blackwater Market layout appreciation topic

Andrew Dodd ★★★
Posts: 1,000
Joined: 2 years ago
Location: Cambridgeshire

Unwatch this topic

Page 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 NEXT 17

Post a new topic | Post a new poll

A Blackwater Market layout appreciation topic

Posted: 01 Jan 2018 - 12:01

Andrew Dodd
Posted: 01 Jan 2018 - 12:01

Happy New Year!

Since the start of the year I've been thinking about a topic which I'd like to start on the forum, but I'm not sure if it would be appropriate. It's a topic that I've been thinking about for a long time, and I'm sure it's been discussed on the forum in the past, but I'm not remembering it. I'm thinking about the topic of what makes a good layout.

Some people have a very clear idea of what they want to achieve, and they know exactly what they want to do to make it come to fruition. Other people don't have a clear idea of what they want to achieve, but they know what they don't want to do, and they know what they want to avoid. Yet other people don't have a clear idea of what they want to achieve, but they know what they want to do to make it come to fruition, and they know what they want to avoid.

Then there are those who don't know what they want to achieve, don't know what they want to do to make it come to fruition, and don't know what they want to avoid.

Now, I'm not saying that one approach is better than another, or that one approach is better than the other, or that one approach is better than the other, or that one approach is better than the other

RMweb
Home > Forum > Forums > Forums > Forums

What was your favourite model of 2015? Have your vote

A Bachmann Market layout appreciation topic
Moderator: Ian Rathbone, Moderators
Forum: Locations
Locations: Locations

Post Reply Post Poll Post Image

Jim Guthrie Posted: 17 January 2014 - 20:08

Quote by mark1 on Latest: 300 pages back on 3rd Feb year 3rd month

mark1 on 17 Jan 2014 - 19:59, said:

Jim Guthrie, Thks and now looking forward to Yardfarm in 2014 image further thanks.

Hey Andrew, Excellent work, Thks for the current Bachmann model 'Yard' and the Yard in 2014 image.

Hey Jim, Thks for the current Bachmann model 'Yard' and the Yard in 2014 image

RMweb Home | Forum | Gallery | Forum Rules | Forum Help | Contact Us | Sign In | Register
Forum Home > Forum > Forum > Forum

RMweb
Home > Forum > Forum > Forum

#1.1 Posted: 04 January 2017 - 21:01

Bernard
Member
Posts: 1,000
Joined: 11-April 08
From: Bournemouth
Age: 70
Gender: Male
Country: United Kingdom
Modelling: Mainly 00 gauge

Hi,

I'm a bit late to the party but I have posted a long and heart felt appreciation of the wonderful Blackpoint. Like most things, it is not perfect, but it is a very good model of a very good prototype and I for one am very grateful that it is available to us. Well done to all involved in its creation and well done to those who have contributed to its development in order to make it a viable product for the rest of us.

Cheers

Bernard

Quite ok, you know every bloke's gotta have his ex-girlfriends quite enough!

Reply

Top | Report this post | Edit | Quote | Reply with quote
Forum Rules | Forum Help

#1.2 Posted: 04 January 2017 - 21:05

Bernard
Member
Posts: 1,000
Joined: 11-April 08
From: Bournemouth
Age: 70
Gender: Male
Country: United Kingdom
Modelling: Mainly 00 gauge

Hi,

I'm a bit late to the party but I have posted a long and heart felt appreciation of the wonderful Blackpoint. Like most things, it is not perfect, but it is a very good model of a very good prototype and I for one am very grateful that it is available to us. Well done to all involved in its creation and well done to those who have contributed to its development in order to make it a viable product for the rest of us.

Cheers

Bernard

Quite ok, you know every bloke's gotta have his ex-girlfriends quite enough!

Reply

Top | Report this post | Edit | Quote | Reply with quote
Forum Rules | Forum Help

#2 Posted: 07 January 2017 - 20:27

Andrew
Member
Posts: 1,000
Joined: 11-April 08
From: Bournemouth
Age: 70
Gender: Male
Country: United Kingdom
Modelling: Mainly 00 gauge

Hi,

Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.

Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.

Cheers

Andrew

Top | Report this post | Edit | Quote | Reply with quote
Forum Rules | Forum Help

#2.1 Posted: 07 January 2017 - 20:37

Andrew
Member
Posts: 1,000
Joined: 11-April 08
From: Bournemouth
Age: 70
Gender: Male
Country: United Kingdom
Modelling: Mainly 00 gauge

Hi,

Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.

Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.

Cheers

Andrew

Top | Report this post | Edit | Quote | Reply with quote
Forum Rules | Forum Help

#3 Posted: 07 January 2017 - 21:07

Andrew Harris
Member
Posts: 1,000
Joined: 11-April 08
From: Bournemouth
Age: 70
Gender: Male
Country: United Kingdom
Modelling: Mainly 00 gauge

Andrew Harris, on 07 January 2017 - 20:37, said:

> Hi,
>
> Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
>
> Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
>
> Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
>
> Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
>
> Cheers
>
> Andrew

Hi,

Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.

Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.

Cheers

Andrew

Top | Report this post | Edit | Quote | Reply with quote
Forum Rules | Forum Help

#4 Posted: 07 January 2017 - 21:08

Andrew
Member
Posts: 1,000
Joined: 11-April 08
From: Bournemouth
Age: 70
Gender: Male
Country: United Kingdom
Modelling: Mainly 00 gauge

Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:07, said:

> Andrew Harris, on 07 January 2017 - 20:37, said:
>
> > Hi,
> >
> > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> >
> > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> >
> > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> >
> > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> >
> > Cheers
> >
> > Andrew
>
> Hi,
>
> Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
>
> Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
>
> Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
>
> Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
>
> Cheers
>
> Andrew

Hi,

Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.

Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.

Cheers

Andrew

Top | Report this post | Edit | Quote | Reply with quote
Forum Rules | Forum Help

#5 Posted: 07 January 2017 - 21:09

Andrew
Member
Posts: 1,000
Joined: 11-April 08
From: Bournemouth
Age: 70
Gender: Male
Country: United Kingdom
Modelling: Mainly 00 gauge

Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:08, said:

> Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:07, said:
>
> > Andrew Harris, on 07 January 2017 - 20:37, said:
> >
> > > Hi,
> > >
> > > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > >
> > > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> > >
> > > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > >
> > > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> > >
> > > Cheers
> > >
> > > Andrew
> >
> > Hi,
> >
> > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> >
> > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> >
> > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> >
> > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> >
> > Cheers
> >
> > Andrew
>
> Hi,
>
> Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
>
> Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
>
> Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
>
> Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
>
> Cheers
>
> Andrew

Hi,

Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.

Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.

Cheers

Andrew

Top | Report this post | Edit | Quote | Reply with quote
Forum Rules | Forum Help

#6 Posted: 07 January 2017 - 21:09

Andrew Harris
Member
Posts: 1,000
Joined: 11-April 08
From: Bournemouth
Age: 70
Gender: Male
Country: United Kingdom
Modelling: Mainly 00 gauge

Andrew Harris, on 07 January 2017 - 21:09, said:

> Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:08, said:
>
> > Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:07, said:
> >
> > > Andrew Harris, on 07 January 2017 - 20:37, said:
> > >
> > > > Hi,
> > > >
> > > > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > >
> > > > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> > > >
> > > > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > >
> > > > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> > > >
> > > > Cheers
> > > >
> > > > Andrew
> > >
> > > Hi,
> > >
> > > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > >
> > > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> > >
> > > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > >
> > > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> > >
> > > Cheers
> > >
> > > Andrew
>
> Hi,
>
> Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
>
> Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
>
> Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
>
> Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
>
> Cheers
>
> Andrew

Hi,

Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.

Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.

Cheers

Andrew

Top | Report this post | Edit | Quote | Reply with quote
Forum Rules | Forum Help

#7 Posted: 07 January 2017 - 21:10

Andrew
Member
Posts: 1,000
Joined: 11-April 08
From: Bournemouth
Age: 70
Gender: Male
Country: United Kingdom
Modelling: Mainly 00 gauge

Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:09, said:

> Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:08, said:
>
> > Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:07, said:
> >
> > > Andrew Harris, on 07 January 2017 - 20:37, said:
> > >
> > > > Hi,
> > > >
> > > > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > >
> > > > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> > > >
> > > > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > >
> > > > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> > > >
> > > > Cheers
> > > >
> > > > Andrew
> > >
> > > Hi,
> > >
> > > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > >
> > > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> > >
> > > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > >
> > > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> > >
> > > Cheers
> > >
> > > Andrew
>
> Hi,
>
> Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
>
> Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
>
> Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
>
> Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
>
> Cheers
>
> Andrew

Hi,

Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.

Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.

Cheers

Andrew

Top | Report this post | Edit | Quote | Reply with quote
Forum Rules | Forum Help

#8 Posted: 07 January 2017 - 21:10

Andrew
Member
Posts: 1,000
Joined: 11-April 08
From: Bournemouth
Age: 70
Gender: Male
Country: United Kingdom
Modelling: Mainly 00 gauge

Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:10, said:

> Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:09, said:
>
> > Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:08, said:
> >
> > > Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:07, said:
> > >
> > > > Andrew Harris, on 07 January 2017 - 20:37, said:
> > > >
> > > > > Hi,
> > > > >
> > > > > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > > >
> > > > > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> > > > >
> > > > > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > > >
> > > > > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> > > > >
> > > > > Cheers
> > > > >
> > > > > Andrew
> > > >
> > > > Hi,
> > > >
> > > > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > >
> > > > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> > > >
> > > > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > >
> > > > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> > > >
> > > > Cheers
> > > >
> > > > Andrew
> > >
> > > Hi,
> > >
> > > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > >
> > > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> > >
> > > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > >
> > > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> > >
> > > Cheers
> > >
> > > Andrew
>
> Hi,
>
> Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
>
> Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
>
> Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
>
> Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
>
> Cheers
>
> Andrew

Hi,

Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.

Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.

Cheers

Andrew

Top | Report this post | Edit | Quote | Reply with quote
Forum Rules | Forum Help

#9 Posted: 07 January 2017 - 21:11

Andrew
Member
Posts: 1,000
Joined: 11-April 08
From: Bournemouth
Age: 70
Gender: Male
Country: United Kingdom
Modelling: Mainly 00 gauge

Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:10, said:

> Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:09, said:
>
> > Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:08, said:
> >
> > > Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:07, said:
> > >
> > > > Andrew Harris, on 07 January 2017 - 20:37, said:
> > > >
> > > > > Hi,
> > > > >
> > > > > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > > >
> > > > > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> > > > >
> > > > > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > > >
> > > > > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> > > > >
> > > > > Cheers
> > > > >
> > > > > Andrew
> > > >
> > > > Hi,
> > > >
> > > > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > >
> > > > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> > > >
> > > > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > >
> > > > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> > > >
> > > > Cheers
> > > >
> > > > Andrew
> > >
> > > Hi,
> > >
> > > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > >
> > > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> > >
> > > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > >
> > > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> > >
> > > Cheers
> > >
> > > Andrew
>
> Hi,
>
> Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
>
> Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
>
> Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
>
> Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
>
> Cheers
>
> Andrew

Hi,

Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.

Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.

Cheers

Andrew

Top | Report this post | Edit | Quote | Reply with quote
Forum Rules | Forum Help

#10 Posted: 07 January 2017 - 21:11

Andrew
Member
Posts: 1,000
Joined: 11-April 08
From: Bournemouth
Age: 70
Gender: Male
Country: United Kingdom
Modelling: Mainly 00 gauge

Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:11, said:

> Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:10, said:
>
> > Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:09, said:
> >
> > > Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:08, said:
> > >
> > > > Andrew, on 07 January 2017 - 21:07, said:
> > > >
> > > > > Andrew Harris, on 07 January 2017 - 20:37, said:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Hi,
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Cheers
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Andrew
> > > > >
> > > > > Hi,
> > > > >
> > > > > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > > >
> > > > > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> > > > >
> > > > > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > > >
> > > > > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> > > > >
> > > > > Cheers
> > > > >
> > > > > Andrew
> > > >
> > > > Hi,
> > > >
> > > > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > >
> > > > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> > > >
> > > > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > > >
> > > > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> > > >
> > > > Cheers
> > > >
> > > > Andrew
> > >
> > > Hi,
> > >
> > > Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > >
> > > Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
> > >
> > > Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
> > >
> > > Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
> > >
> > > Cheers
> > >
> > > Andrew
>
> Hi,
>
> Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
>
> Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.
>
> Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.
>
> Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.
>
> Cheers
>
> Andrew

Hi,

Sorry to offer "Yesterday's news" but I have thought that something is missing and yet that it does come close to sound a bit cynical. It is the lack of a reference to the Tangmere and Stanlow in "Blackpoint and Beyond" in Blackpoint's Facebook page. Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Surely not! Why should there be a restriction on using a layout name, just because its been used before - what's wrong with a fantasy version? Cygnet has its great success, of the new layout locations to realise that it wasn't THE one, it has only been a fantasy version of Bournemouth West.

Perhaps we should change the name to Bournemouth West - Blackpoint and Beyond? Surely, if it is to be a viable product, it should be made clear that it is a model of a very good prototype.

Brand new layout or a fantasy layout, but not the prototype.

Cheers

Andrew

Top | Report this post | Edit | Quote | Reply with quote
Forum Rules | Forum Help

#11 Posted: 07 January 2017 - 21:12

Andrew
Member
Posts: 1,000
Joined: 11-April 08
From: Bournemouth
Age: 70
Gender: Male
Country: United Kingdom
Modelling: Mainly

What was your favourite model of 2011? Cast your vote

A Dorchester Market layout appreciation topic

Moderators: gaminman, Jim Dunn, Jim Dunn, Jim Dunn

What was your favourite model of 2017? Clear your vote

Advertisement
Remove this ads

A Blockshelf Market layout appreciation topic

Forums Calendar Gallery Members
Forums > Forum > Forum > Forum
Forum Home Page
Forum Actions
Quick Links

Jump to Page: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40

What was your favourite model of 2017? Cast your vote

A Bachelorette Market layout appreciation topic

« Previous 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 73 Next »

Post Reply | Subscribe to this thread

Jamie
Posted: 27 Jan 2017 at 17:08 #1
Hi all,
Thought it would be fun to start a new thread for RMW Model Layout Of the Week. I am sure that for most folks it seem many of the layouts posted here are of a high quality, but I think it would be great to have a place where people can post a layout and the people who like it can vote using a very limited method. The voting system definitely the main one being - the layout with the most votes at the end of the year is the winner. I'm not sure how long it should be for, but I think a week or two should be enough to ensure that people have a chance to see and comment on the layout.
Let's kick off with a layout I've been meaning to post for a while anyway.
Jamie
Jamie Clarke
Member
Posts: 1,000
Joined: 11 Jan 2009
Location: Cambridgeshire
Post Reply | Report Post | Reply quoting this post

Mike Sharp
Member
Posts: 1,000
Joined: 11 Jan 2009
Location: Cambridgeshire
Post Reply | Report Post | Reply quoting this post

What was your favourite model of 2017? Cast your vote

A Blackchester Market layout appreciation topic

Forum Home > Forum > Modelling > Modelling Forum
Forum Home | Top | Prev | Next | Page 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |

What was your favourite model of 2017? Post your vote

A Bachmann Market layout appreciation topic
Moderator: Moderators, Moderator
Locked Subscribe Bookmark Share Report this topic

Posted: 15 Jan 2017, 19:32

Chris J
Moderator
Moderator
Posts: 10,000+
Joined: 15 Jan 2009
Location: Bristol

Chris J, on the RMW, 15th, 1/01, 2017

A selection of Hornby Lionel
Hornby Lionel Pullmor PT Cars and 1 Tender
Hornby Lionel Tender
Hornby Lionel Tender

Thanks to some excellent photos from Chris of RMW, I now feel confident in producing a layout plan for 1950s

BACHMANN MARKET layout

Attached Thumbnails:

Edited by Chris J, 17 May 2017 - 15:42
Attachments(0 posts) Report this post

Posted: 15 Jan 2017, 19:38

Chris J
Moderator
Moderator
Posts: 10,000+
Joined: 15 Jan 2009
Location: Bristol

Chris J wrote:

I think the time as much as possible with this intersection to back. So, for the moment, I'll move on to the 1st row - the Bachmann Market layout appreciation topic - Bachmann Market.

Bachmann Market

This is very disappointed. The size of the scenery and model has been vastly exaggerated (presumably for legality).

Attached Thumbnails:

MAP OF RAILWAYS
IN THE AREA
A SUPERMARKET
A SUPERMARKET
A SUPERMARKET

What was your favourite model of 2021? Have your vote

Advertisement from Google

Sponsored links

A Bachester Market layout appreciation topic

« Previous 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40

RMweb
Home Forums Members Calendar Gallery Blogs Search Forums Members Calendar Gallery Blogs
Home > Forum > Modelling > Railway Modelling
What was your favourite model of 2017? Post your vote
A Dorchester Market layout appreciation topic
Forum: Railway Modelling Started by: Andyman
0 Replies 0 Views 1 Watching
Page 1 of 1 Go to page 1 Go
Reply to this topic Start a new topic
Sort by Oldest first Newest first Rating Posts per page
Andyman Posted 11 Jan 2017 - 20:12
A Dorchester Market layout appreciation topic
Andyman's Forum Posts: 1,000 Joined: 11 Jan 2017 Age: 60 Gender: Male Location: Dorset
Posted 12 Jan 2017 - 07:19
Andyman's Dorchester Model Railway Exhibition Scenery. Sadly a great venue. Well the layout is capable of impressive and satisfying greenfield work. Apart from the accommodation problems. I mean where else can we have nothing to sort out.
Well I'm not sure I'm ready for a full blow by blow account of the build but I'll try to give a few highlights and some of the lessons I learnt along the way. It does mainly by scenery and very little other than a bit of track work. So, for an accident-prone, non-scenic, non-electrical, non-DCC, non-sound, non-everything else modeller it was a great project. It was also a great design and operation so the fun proved to be always up to all the way in language. It is a forward planed 7 mm/ft 2 rail gauge and operates with a mixture of Hornby and Bachmann rolling stock. The layout is based on the Dorchester Market area in the mid-to-late 1960s, accessible from Dorset and Wilts and Somerset and Dorset lines. It was a compromise but I wanted to be able to run a train from a station to a goods yard. Although it was a compromise it has meant that it is a very easy layout and it works a little as the main station, despite plans for a transhipment to be nearer Nearby, when another station was built. The station is a little Victorian Gothic, the goods yard is a typical Southern Railway one with a cattle dock, a small goods shed and a small yard crane. Please find a few photos.
Andyman's Forum Posts: 1,000 Joined: 11 Jan 2017 Age: 60 Gender: Male Location: Dorset
Sorry, I forgot to post the video Charlie. The camera there was a lot of effort with the maintaining and repairing Bachmann Mallard. I really hope you can find a compatibility and upgrade issue or continue that good work. In hope.
Andyman's Forum Posts: 1,000 Joined: 11 Jan 2017 Age: 60 Gender: Male Location: Dorset
Posted 12 Jan 2017 - 17:00
Thanks for our Dorchester Market. Sun will think this is the best place for Hardwick Grange.
Regards Andyman's Forum
Making Progress Not Making Excuses. Sorry I don't have any photos of anything on my goal here.
Andyman's Forum Posts: 1,000 Joined: 11 Jan 2017 Age: 60 Gender: Male Location: Dorset
Posted 12 Jan 2017 - 17:00
Andyman's Forum Posts: 1,000 Joined: 11 Jan 2017 Age: 60 Gender: Male Location: Dorset
Andyman Posted 12 Jan 2017 - 17:00
Andyman's Forum Posts: 1,000 Joined: 11 Jan 2017 Age: 60 Gender: Male Location: Dorset